

Orangeview Junior High School
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year
Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Orangeview Junior High School
Street	3715 West Orange Avenue
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92804-2814
Phone Number	(714) 220-4205
Principal	Roberto Saldivar
E-mail Address	saldivar_r@auhsd.us
Web Site	www.auhsd.us/orangeview
CDS Code	30664316058861

District Contact Information	
District Name	Anaheim Union High School District
Phone Number	(714) 999-3511
Superintendent	Michael B. Matsuda
E-mail Address	webmaster@auhsd.us
Web Site	www.auhsd.us

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Orangeview Jr. High School has developed programs to meet the diverse needs of students through course offerings. For example, we offer reading courses for students falling below grade level. We provide a full selection of honors courses, and we fully integrate many of our special education students into collaboratively taught Math and English classes.

In addition to the specialized courses, our counseling department offers a comprehensive list of support services to assist students through the academic and social challenges of junior high school. We provide one-on-one meetings, group sessions for anger management, organizational skills, grief, and we partner with outside agencies to connect students and families with additional support.

At Orangeview Junior High School we are committed to:

- A coordinated instructional program in which teachers collaborate to ensure all students learn
- A systematic response to students' academic and social needs

So that all students can:

1. Collaborate
2. Communicate
3. Be Creative
4. Think Critically

We strive to equip students with 21st Century skills that are needed for college and careers.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	472
Grade 8	457
Total Enrollment	929

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	7.5
Filipino	5.0
Hispanic or Latino	70.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1
White	10.1
Two or More Races	1.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	84.2
English Learners	31.6
Students with Disabilities	12.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District 2014-15
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
With Full Credential	46	41	39	1327
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	2	2	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	2	2
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
All Schools in District	99.98	0.02
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.98	0.02
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program.

Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2009

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts textbooks were adopted in 2008-09. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health is taught as part of science and PE curriculum. Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07.	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Visual and performing arts students have access to course appropriate textbooks and instructional materials.	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Orangeview Junior High School opened in 1958. The 20.6 acre site included 42 regular classrooms. There are 14 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a library, a cafeteria, a gym, parent center, and a variety of sports fields. A large portion of the buildings on site were modernized in 1994 with State School Building funding. The exterior of all buildings were painted with a new color scheme during the summer of 2007. This included extensive repairs and prep work to address the wear and tear on many buildings for almost 50 years.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on October 22, 2014.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)					
Year and month in which data were collected: October 22, 2014					
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned	
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]		

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
 Year and month in which data were collected: October 22, 2014

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	74	62	61	64	62	62	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	62
All Student at the School	61
Male	59
Female	62
Black or African American	65
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	76
Filipino	88
Hispanic or Latino	54
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	66
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58
English Learners	24
Students with Disabilities	44
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	49	59	53	49	54	52	54	56	55
Mathematics	33	37	42	35	37	35	49	50	50
History-Social Science	50	59	56	49	51	50	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	4	6	6
Similar Schools	7	10	9

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	17	47	-13
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	12	47	-8
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	43	39	-57
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	50	-10
English Learners	2	52	-16
Students with Disabilities	-16	101	-8

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
	7	22.7	26.4
			26.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

There are many opportunities for parents to become involved in school activities. Our Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA) was established so that parents may partner with the school to sponsor activities. Parents may also partner with the school by participating in the School Site Council (SSC), the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) and the District advisory Council (DAC) in which the Superintendent is a member. Many of our programs, such as band, choir, athletics, and ASB encourage parents to volunteer inside and outside of the classroom. The most effective method for parents to be involved in the academic development of their student is to regularly meet with their child and talk about both their agenda planner and any notes they have taken in class. We have parent nights to share how parents may monitor their child's academic progress. These meetings take place once a month. All students have an agenda planner to record what they are learning in their classes and the assignments they need to complete. Also, all students use the same note taking format (Cornell Notes), which can easily be reviewed to understand the key concepts of many classes. Parents may review the planner to see what activities their child has completed during school each day.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	5.3	14.1	13.9	3.2	5.8	5.4	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

School Safety plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from the School Site Council, the Discipline and Safety Committee, staff, and community resource groups in order to determine any needed changes. The Orangeview Junior High School Safety Plan was updated in the fall of 2013. The safety plan provides an overview of how Orangeview staff will handle emergency situations on and off of the campus as well as the protocol for interventions to ensure the health and well being of students and staff.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2000-2001	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	14
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	100.0

Note: Cells with “---” do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14		
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms	
		1-22	23-32		1-22	23-32		1-22	23-32
English	30.9	8	8	27	25	12	28	11	28
Mathematics	32.1	5	10	19	25	10	18	11	27
Science	32.9	4	3	25	29	8		25	31
Social Science	35.7	2	2	25	30	5	6	21	32
								4	4
									21

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	465
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	0.5	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	0.16	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	---
Resource Specialist	0	---
Other	0	---

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$9,032	\$2,566	\$6,466	\$83,246
District	---	---	\$1,852	\$85,155
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	249.1	-2.2
State	---	---	\$4,690	\$72,276
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	37.9	15.2

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Through funding from state and federal categorical programs, such as Title I and EIA-LEP, Orangeview Junior High School offers support services for our students. Some of these include, but are not limited to: after school homework lab; Saturday academic academies in mathematics, English, and literacy development; parent conferences; Anaheim Achieves after-school program. In addition, we fund one additional teacher to serve students in English language arts/reading. *Qualifying Orangeview Junior High School students also participate in Supplemental Educational Services (SES) through the Title I program.

*SES is also referred to as free Title I after-school tutoring.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,150	\$42,957
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$85,797	\$69,613
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,662	\$89,407
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,652	\$120,526
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$136,840	\$129,506
Superintendent Salary	\$243,016	\$207,044
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41	37
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4	5

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development

The teachers at Orangeview attend a variety of District in-services, professional development workshops, and conferences to enhance their content knowledge and instructional skills. The in-services, conferences, and professional development opportunities give teachers time to collaborate and share the latest instructional strategies. Teachers learn to use students' assessment results and data from these assessments to meet the individual needs of students. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. This program provides a mentor teacher for the new teacher so that the latest instructional strategies are shared with the new teacher. Classified staff members also have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. They also attend district in-services and professional development workshops. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons.