

# School Accountability Report Card

## Reported for School Year 2007-08

### Published During 2008-09

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Orangeview Junior High School	<b>District Name</b>	Anaheim Union High School District
<b>Street</b>	3715 West Orange Ave.	<b>Phone Number</b>	714-999-3502
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Anaheim, CA 92804-2814	<b>Web Site</b>	Auhsd.k12.ca.us
<b>Phone Number</b>	714-220-4205	<b>Superintendent</b>	Joseph Farley, Ed.D.
<b>Principal</b>	Kevin Astor	<b>E-mail Address</b>	Farley_j@auhsd.us
<b>E-mail Address</b>	Astor_k@auhsd.us	<b>CDS Code</b>	30664316058861

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Orangeview Junior High School is a community with high expectations that promote academic achievement and a safe, healthy learning environment. Our goal is to understand the needs of each of our students and create programs and services that allow all students to achieve at high levels – both academically and socially.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Parents are encouraged to attend school activities and events to support their students as they get a better look at the many different facets of Orangeview life. Our Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA) is looking for parents to partner with the school for increased success of our students. Many of our programs such as Band, Choir, Athletics, ASB are looking for parents to help both inside and outside of the classroom. The most effective method for parents to be involved in the academic development of their student is to regular meet with their child and talk about both their agenda planner and any notes they have taken in class. All students have an agenda planner in which they are expected to record what they are learning in their classes and the assignments they need to complete. Also, all students use the same note taking format (Cornell Notes) and this can be easily reviewed to understand the key concepts of many classes.

### [Student Enrollment by Grade Level \(School Year 2007-08\)](#)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	543
Grade 8	624
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>1167</b>

### [Student Enrollment by Group \(School Year 2007-08\)](#)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	3.77%	White (not Hispanic)	15.94%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.09%	Multiple or No Response	9.77%
Asian	7.37%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58%
Filipino	4.54%	English Learners	32%
Hispanic or Latino	56.47%	Students with Disabilities	11%
Pacific Islander	2.06%		

### [Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution \(Secondary\)](#)

This table displays, by subject area, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2005-06			2006-07			2007-08		
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms	
		1-22	23-32		1-22	23-32		1-22	23-32
English	29.5	11	35	27	24.7	26	40	10	25.6
Mathematics	29.2	7	26	17	26.1	15	29	10	31.4
Science	32.7	2	17	26	30	4	19	14	31.2
Social Science	30.5	3	15	13	29.8	2	17	12	30.6

## III. School Climate

### [School Safety Plan \(School Year 2007-08\)](#)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

School Safety plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from the School Site Council, staff, and community resource groups in order to determine any needed changes. The Orangeview Junior High School Safety Plan was updated in January, 2005. The plan was discussed with school staff in September/October, 2005.

### [Suspensions and Expulsions](#)

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Suspensions	12.7	15.7	16.7	6.1	6.0	6.6
Expulsions	1.0	1.1	1.5	0.6	0.9	0.9

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Orangeview Junior High School opened in 1958. The 20.6 acre site included 33 regular classrooms plus a number of portable classrooms. There are 14 labs which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a library, a cafeteria, a gym, and a variety of sports fields. A large portion of the buildings on site were modernized in 1994 with State School Building funding. The exterior of all buildings will be painted with a new color scheme during the summer of 2007. This will include extensive repairs and prep work to address the wear and tear on many buildings for almost 50 years. There are plans to add climate control for the small number of rooms not completed through the 1994 modernization.

Maintenance and repair: Site and district maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning process and schedule: The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily and deep cleaning, waxing of floors, and painting takes place during times when students are not in class. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on December 3, 2008.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Mechanical Systems	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	Graffiti on door window in room 1 and the caulking falling off the window in room 13.
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	[ ]	[ ]	[X]	Various rooms have stained, missing, or broken ceiling tiles. Paint peeling on cabinets, closet doors, and cafeteria door.
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Structural Damage	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Fire Safety	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Electrical (interior and exterior)	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Electrical receptacle broken.
Pest/Vermin Infestation	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Restrooms	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Sewer	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Playground/School Grounds	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Asphalt deteriorating on tennis courts.
Roofs	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Overall Cleanliness	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

### Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

Item Inspected	Facility Condition			
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]

## V. Teachers

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program, district workshops, and professional conferences are opportunities for professional development. The District continues to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize student assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members also have opportunities to participate in trainings designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All district staff members are supported in their efforts to be considered highly qualified under NCLB.

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the *DataQuest* Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District 2007-08
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	
<b>With Full Credential</b>	53	53	54	1,351
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	2	1	0	59
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	2	0	N/A

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: *Total Teacher Misassignments* includes the number of *Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners*.

Indicator	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	1	1
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	2	3	1
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2006-07)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found at the NCLB Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
<b>This School</b>	99.3%	0.7%
<b>All Schools in District</b>	99.5%	0.5%
<b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b>	100%	0%
<b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>	99.7%	0.3%

## VI Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4	291
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		N/A

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

This information was collected in October 2008.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	All students currently have access to a textbook at school, but not all students have a textbook to take home. To remedy this situation, the Anaheim Union High School District is currently going through a textbook adoption process. All students will have a textbook by 2009-10.	28
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student.	0
Visual and Performing Arts		

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2006-07)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
<b>School Site</b>	\$9,579	\$3,709	\$5,870	\$76,506
<b>District</b>	---	---	\$5,558	\$75,555
<b>Percent Difference – School Site and District</b>	---	---	5.6%	1.3%
<b>State</b>	---	---	\$4,943	\$65,574
<b>Percent Difference – School Site and State</b>	---	---	18.8%	16.7%

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

Through various funding sources, Orangeview Junior High School offers different support services for our students. Some of these include, but are not limited to: After school Homework Lab; Saturday Academic Academies in Math, English, and EL; Parent Conferences; Anaheim Achieves After School Program; After School Tutoring; Summer Enrichment Classes

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2006-07)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$45,832	\$41,367
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$83,399	\$66,967
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$95,799	\$85,877
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$111,304	\$112,947
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>	\$127,366	\$123,438
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$226,000	\$185,780
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	39.7%	37.1%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	4.2%	5.1%

## IX. Student Performance

### California Standards Tests

The California Standards Tests (CSTs) show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 2 through 11; science in grades 5, 8, and 9 through 11; and history-social science in grades 8, and 10 through 11. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding CST results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web page at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### CST Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
English-Language Arts	32	33	38	39	41	43	42	43	46
Mathematics	34	31	34	36	32	30	40	40	43
Science	36	46	55	39	42	49	35	38	46
History-Social Science	32	34	35	36	36	38	33	33	36

### CST Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	36	21	53	28
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	59	66	66	45
Filipino	52	47	74	62
Hispanic or Latino	29	28	49	30
Pacific Islander	32	38	41	35
White (not Hispanic)	48	33	68	43
Male	34	36	57	39
Female	40	30	53	31
Economically Disadvantaged	32	31	50	
English Learners	14	28	32	19
Students with Disabilities	6	11	18	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2007-08)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards
7	24.6

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/api/>.

#### API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Statewide</b>	4	5	4
<b>Similar Schools</b>	4	7	6

#### API Changes by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	
<b>All Students at the School</b>	19	1	27	732
<b>African American</b>				
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>				
<b>Asian</b>				
<b>Filipino</b>				
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	30	-5	26	700
<b>Pacific Islander</b>				
<b>White (not Hispanic)</b>	25	10	17	758
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	26	0	25	713
<b>English Learners</b>	18	-9	38	703
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	33	10	-10	564

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
<b>Overall</b>	No	No
<b>Participation Rate - English-Language Arts</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Participation Rate - Mathematics</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts</b>	No	No
<b>Percent Proficient - Mathematics</b>	No	No
<b>API</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	N/A	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2008-09)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
<b>Program Improvement Status</b>	In PI	In PI
<b>First Year of Program Improvement</b>	2000-2001	2008-2009
<b>Year in Program Improvement</b>	Year 5	Year 1
<b>Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	N/A	6
<b>Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	N/A	27.3